


Great Barrier Reef Traditional Owner Workshop Reef-wide Forum 1st-3rd May 2018.

Final Report



Disclaimer

The funding bodies Reef and Rainforest Research Centre (RRRC) and CSIRO do not guarantee, and accept no legal liability whatsoever arising from or connected to, the use of or reliance on any material contained in this publication or in any material referenced in this publication. Information provided in this report is considered to be true and correct at the time of publication. Changes in the circumstances after time of publication may impact on the accuracy of this information and the authors give no assurance as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this publication. The authors recommend that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to their use of this publication and that users carefully evaluate the accuracy, currency, completeness and relevance of the material for their purposes. The material in this publication may include the views or recommendations of third parties, which do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding bodies, or indicate commitment to a particular course of action.

Contents

- Disclaimer2
- Contents.....3
- Acronyms4
- 1.0 Introduction5
 - Workshop Overview 5
 - Workshop Participants..... 6
- 2.0 Indigenous Heritage in reef integrated monitoring and reporting program (rimrep)9
 - Background 9
 - Activity at the forum and outcomes.....10
- 3.0 Reef 2050 Aspirations Project13
 - Background13
 - Forum activity and outcomes.....13
- Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Actions13
- Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Governance.....15
- Traditional Owner Initiated Issues18
 - The reef funding announcement.....18
 - Traditional Owner reef initiatives.....20
 - Traditional Owner concerns about the reef20
- APPENDIX 122
- APPENDIX 223
- APPENDIX 324

ACRONYMS

AIMS	Australian Institute of Marine Science
CSIRO.....	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DoEE.....	Department of Environment and Energy
FPIC.....	Free, Prior, Informed Consent
GBR	Great Barrier Reef
GBRF	Great Barrier Reef Foundation
GBRMPA.....	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
IHEG	Indigenous Heritage Expert Group
ILUA.....	Indigenous Land Use Agreement
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
JCU	James Cook University
LIMAC	Local Indigenous Marine Advisory Committee
LMAC	Local Marine Advisory Committee
RIMReP	Reef Integrated Monitoring and Reporting Program
RRRC.....	Reef and Rainforest Research Centre
TO.....	Traditional Owner
TUMRA	Traditional Use of Marine Resources Agreement

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Reef 2050 Plan formally recognises that “Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are the Traditional Owners of the GBR area and have a continuing connection to their land and sea Country” (Commonwealth of Australia 2015, ii). Furthermore, the Plan explicitly recognises that inherent in the effective long-term management of the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) are the cultural and economic aspirations of the Indigenous communities of Queensland where strong connections with Country continue. “The cultural and ecological knowledge of Traditional Owners will be essential in delivering this plan” (Commonwealth of Australia 2015, 3).

Traditional Owners (TO) were broadly engaged in the development of the Reef 2050 Plan, including the development of the various outcomes, objectives, targets and actions encompassed within. Specific Traditional Owner engagement is structured into the Reef 2050 Plan national advisory arrangements with representatives nominated from Traditional Owner groups in the GBR region being within the Reef 2050 Advisory Committee (chaired by The Honourable Penny Wensley AC)¹. Indigenous actions are embedded right across the Plan’s scope, and Traditional Owners recognise this as a major Reef 2050 Plan achievement (Dale et al. 2016, 16). The importance of Traditional Owner knowledge is explicitly recognised within the Reef 2050 Plan, through the ‘Principles in decision-making’ recognising that decisions should be based upon the best available information. This requires that decisions are based on the full range of knowledge, including scientific understanding, Traditional Owner and community knowledge (Commonwealth of Australia 2015, 35).

A number of projects are currently underway to implement the principles enshrined in Reef 2050 into practice.

Workshop Overview

A Reef-wide Traditional Owner Forum was held at Palm Cove in far north Queensland, from 1-3 May 2018. The forum was facilitated by Ken Markwell. The purpose of the forum was to obtain input from Great Barrier Reef (GBR) Traditional Owners to two specific projects: The Reef Integrated Monitoring and Reporting Program (RIMReP) Indigenous Heritage theme project funded by Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) and the Department of Environment and Energy (DoEE); and the Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations project funded by the DoEE and administered by the Reef and Rainforest Research Centre (RRRC). More detail on these specific projects and outcomes obtained from the forum are outlined further below.

Both project teams, and the forum itself, were led by Traditional Owners. An additional purpose of the forum was to create opportunities to address reef issues of concern to Traditional Owners. The forum agenda included sessions on the state of the reef; an opportunity for Traditional Owners to express concerns about the state of the reef and be provided with a response to the concerns; and opportunities for Traditional Owners to present

¹ <http://www.environment.gov.au/marine/gbr/reef2050/advisory-bodies>

their own initiatives and projects in land and sea country management. The major reef funding announcement made immediately before the forum (on Sunday 29 April) also raised a number of additional unanticipated concerns and issues of importance to Traditional Owners at the forum. The project teams agreed to adapt the agenda to accommodate time to fully discuss and respond to these concerns. Further detail is provided below.

A website link to download the full record of the forum is provided at [Appendix 1](#); and a website link for the agenda and all powerpoint presentations are provided at [Appendix 2](#).

Workshop Participants

A total of 66 GBR Traditional Owners representing 35 Traditional Owner groups participated at the forum. A participant list can be found at [Appendix 3](#). Participants were selected from nominations solicited from all Traditional Owner groups along the GBR. Participants represented a broad geographic spread along the reef, based on the nine geographic zones used in both projects, and there was also a good gender balance with 48% female representatives. See Figures 1 and 2. Note that the geographic spread in Figure 2 shows figures which are an underestimate of participants from at least zones 2, 4 and 5. The graph will be updated with final numbers as part of completing the final report.



Figure 1: Map of the GBR showing 9 geo-regions used at the forum.




Figure 2: Geographic spread of forum participants nominated and invited to attend the forum; and gender balance of participants.

2.0 INDIGENOUS HERITAGE IN REEF INTEGRATED MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (RIMREP)

Background

A key part of the Reef 2050 plan is the development and application of an integrated monitoring and reporting program to track progress towards the targets and objectives of Reef 2050. Traditional Owners identify that their concepts of well-being are critical to framing a monitoring system capable of tracking progress towards the protection of their Indigenous heritage. In a workshop in February 2017, Traditional Owners agreed that they should take a leadership role in designing and developing components of a GBR-wide monitoring program that incorporate Traditional Owner well-being. This resulted in the formation of a Traditional Owner majority Indigenous Heritage Expert Group (IHEG) to co-design an appropriate monitoring program for the GBR which underpins the actions, targets and objectives of the Reef 2050 Long-Term Sustainability Plan (Reef 2050 Plan). There was also clear direction that GBR Traditional Owners needed support to develop their concepts of Traditional Owner well-being. A CSIRO-led team of non-Indigenous and Indigenous scientists from CSIRO and James Cook University (JCU) has been providing this support. The project support team was tasked by the IHEG with a review of Traditional Owner-driven monitoring frameworks as the starting point for the IHEG's deliberations.

The contribution of Indigenous knowledge in addition to scientific input across all the core areas of the RIMReP is recognised as vital for the development of a holistic response to the complex issues relating to the GBR and its catchments. This context underpins our focus on a broad interpretation of Indigenous Heritage, consistent with the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority's (the Authority) Draft Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Strategy, to underpin consideration of monitoring of all the aspects of Traditional Owner connections to GBR land and sea Country, and the relevant objectives, targets and actions in the Reef 2050 Plan.

The IHEG identified six key domains (or "hubs") relevant to Strong Peoples – Strong Country: Country health; people's health; heritage and knowledge; culture and community; education; and empowerment and economics (Figure 3). Together these domains encompass Traditional Owners' understandings of the connections between the people and their Country across, and underpinned by, the GBR Region. Components of each of these factors were uniquely described using the worldviews of Indigenous peoples in the GBR Region. For example, education is learning from elders, training, and a passion to learn and health includes spirituality, access to traditional medicines, and access to medical services.




Figure 3: The *Strong Peoples – Strong Country* framework for monitoring Indigenous heritage in RIMReP

Activity at the forum and outcomes

The IHEG team developed a survey to assess the importance and satisfaction of Traditional Owners against a number of indicators in each of these six domains. Forum participants were invited to undertake the survey individually, and also workshopped regional group responses at the forum.

Preliminary analysis of the survey data is presented in Figure 4 which shows that, in general, Traditional Owners are dissatisfied with their wellbeing associated with GBR land and sea country. While no factor received a satisfaction score above 7.5/10, (see figure 4) the worst scores were recorded against the Empowerment and Economics domain (green in Figure 4). This highlights a clear message – to improve the condition of Indigenous heritage, future actions should empower Traditional Owners, and improve their economic prospects.




Figure 4: Level of satisfaction expressed by regional group responses to the survey.

Overall, the **Strong Peoples –Strong Country** framework was well received by Traditional Owners and provided a good basis for future work. The indicators map to the Reef 2050 Traditional Owner objectives and actions and the Draft Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Strategy and provide a good basis for tracking trends in asset condition. We also identified an opportunity to spatially locate data from each Traditional Owner group, enabling us to map trends across the region, which will make the framework even more useful.

The project identified that future work is needed to provide objective indicators and to support the potential contributions of Traditional Owners to monitor biophysical aspects of Reef health. This work includes:

- Providing training and employment for Traditional Owners to collect indicators for a range of the RIMReP monitoring activities
- Supporting Traditional Owner Groups to prepare and update sea country plans
- Developing appropriate participatory two-way indicators in these plans
- Negotiating data sharing agreements with TO Groups to enable relevant data to be collected, analysed and scaled across the GBR region

The current Australian Government contract with the RRRC-NAILSMA consortium (Reef 2050 TO Aspirations Project) includes a component that will enable further work to be done to scope out the relevant requirements for this work.

The IHEG recommends that a future monitoring program be adopted that gathers longitudinal data on the subjective views of Traditional Owners of the GBR catchment using the Strong Peoples – Strong Country framework and indicators; and also develops and pilots the two-way participatory objective indicators, through sea country planning where required, and negotiation of data agreements with Traditional Owner groups across the GBR.

3.0 REEF 2050 ASPIRATIONS PROJECT

Background

The Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations project is led by Traditional Owners to better understand and reflect aspirations for the protection and management of the Great Barrier Reef and provide this input to improve the Reef 2050 Plan. To achieve this, the project sought two overarching objectives to the forum:

1. Provide Traditional Owners with the opportunity to learn and engage with the Reef 2050 Plan and the Traditional Owner actions within it, and test if the intent and scope of the actions meets Traditional Owner needs; and
2. Ask Traditional Owners what a governance framework might look like, for ongoing consultation with and input from Traditional Owners in the implementation of Reef 2050.

Forum activity and outcomes

Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Actions

There are seven themes in the Reef 2050 Plan. Within each of these themes there are actions that relate specifically to engagement with Traditional Owners.

- Ecosystem Health: 6 Traditional Owner actions
- Biodiversity: 4 Traditional Owner actions
- Heritage: 8 Traditional Owner actions
- Water Quality: 1 action that is very broad and engages all stakeholders.
- Community Benefits: 3 Traditional Owner actions, these actions are very broad and can be made more specific
- Economic Benefits: 2 Traditional Owner actions, this can range from ecosystem tourism, to environmentally sustainable services
- Governance: 2 Traditional Owner actions, the project teams want to know what governance is going to look like going forward, what structure is best suited for the mob inside the GBR.

These actions were presented to the forum participants in plenary, and then the actions within each theme were considered in detail, in break-out groups. Participants were invited to consider whether each action was important to Traditional Owners, whether the scope and intent was sufficient (with invitation to propose amendment as appropriate); and if there were any gaps, problems or worries about the actions (with invitation to propose additional wording considered more appropriate).

The advice received from these breakout groups are as follows:

Ecosystem Health:

There was rewarding and refocusing of the actions.

-
- Emphasis of the local level and their priorities
 - Long term program
 - Traditional Owners driving the agenda
 - Long term commitments to land and sea country support and resourcing, delivery of programs
 - Traditional Owner ownership of knowledge on country to build Traditional Owner relationships with knowledge and science
 - Traditional Owners play a bigger role in marine pollution and the new agenda that is emerging

Heritage:

- Words in the actions were very passive – the group wanted to see these strengthened
- Reinforcing Traditional Owners role as owners of their own heritage; rights and interests recognised
- Interest in partnering with management agencies, corporates and others for increased protection of heritage values
- Support is required for maintenance and intergenerational transfer of Traditional Knowledge
- Priority for Traditional Owner plans of management around heritage values critical
- Cultural advisors are required to participate in planning, especially at the beginning
- Strengthening impact assessment process
- New action around funding design - \$ required for capital to help look after heritage
- Prioritisation process – building capacity. Traditional Owners need to inform what they see as capacity into any funding design
- Management planning and assessment around their heritage – Traditional Owners own data and information storage
- Partnerships require agreement-making to secure knowledge shared with others
- Heritage values associated with water remain a high priority for Traditional Owners

Water Quality:

- Ownership of Traditional Owner knowledge
- What is the cultural offset if there is damage to water?
- People want to play a long term active role in their catchments, not just land use and farming
- Look after the shellfish base (bush tucker base)
- How do Traditional Owners get much more involved in the management of estuaries?

Community Benefit:

- Strong statement of Traditional Owners driving the review and not just a governmental review
- If there is engagement, there must be returns to the Traditional Owners
- Interesting theme back to joint management (co-management with government)

Economic Benefit:

- What opportunities are there for Traditional Owners?
- How do we have transaction cost and draw in the resources to work effectively?

This feedback and proposals for re-worded Traditional Owner actions will be further explored within the Traditional Owner engagement program later in 2018.

Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Governance

Models for Traditional Owner governance were presented to the forum by Dr Leah Talbot in a plenary, based on the research undertaken within her PhD project. Forum participants then broke into several breakout groups to workshop the detail of a governance structure for Traditional Owner engagement and input into Reef 2050 implementation and decision making. Full details and images of butcher paper and sticky notes from each group are included in Appendix 1 (Day 2 session 4).

Notably, all groups supported the development of a representative alliance of reef Traditional Owners which supports existing traditional decision-making structures. Ideas and proposals from the breakout groups showed significant support around the following principles:

- Empowerment – as opposed to replacing, Traditional Owner structures are fit-for-purpose to support Traditional Owner decision making, and have fought for primacy
- Our way (Bama way)
- Sharing communication and celebration – between and amongst the groups within the forums
- Mandate and advocacy – Reef Wide forum to be an advocacy group
- Inscription not Prescription
- Overarching and legitimized, learn, leverage, existing structures
- Equal voice
- Inherent versus permitted rights– Traditional Owners are not stakeholders

Detail within each group's proposal clustered around the following topics:

Reef Wide Indigenous Land Use Agreement (ILUA)

- Across the whole GBR - game changer
- Multi-purpose general flexible
- Locks in an agreement with government through a framework ILUA with flexibility for Traditional Owner groups
- Meet with World Heritage Committee and International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) regarding Free, Prior, Informed Consent (FPIC)
- Addresses planning etc.
- Maybe annual

ILUAs, Traditional Use of Marine Resources Agreements (TUMRAs) and other Agreements

- Negotiating the right cultural boundaries
- Need research partnership agreements that ensure ethical conduct and benefits for Traditional Owners
- Plan of management under section 39Za (put Traditional Owners in genuine partnership role)

Traditional Owner Clans Directly to Government

- Each group with equal voice
- Choose own champions in own way
- Network model (presented by Talbot) should be implemented
- Reef-wide forum twice a year

Reef Wide Forum

- Funding for this goes to all groups
- All groups should be able to attend
- Twice a year
- Fee paid to participants
- Each representative from the Traditional Owner groups gets paid one day a week to be a champion
- Endorses protocols and processes
- Also, part of the Traditional Owner council idea
- Funded through the GBR Foundation initially
- Need protocols for communication and decision making between Traditional Owner groups
- Meetings set according to seasons

Clusters and Hubs

- Bring together Traditional Owners in the local-subregion-region
- Sub-regions pull clans together - need to be funded
- Boundaries need discussion (of subregions)
- Traditional Owners need to set boundaries between regions/clusters
- 4 Different regions to provide a forum with refs
- Traditional Owner reference group can be duplicated across HBY
- Traditional Owner organisations and groups are the base of the “tree” voice for Traditional Owners in the area
- Local Indigenous Marine Advisory Committee (LIMAC) idea similar to Local Marine Advisory Committee (LMAC)
- Clusters meet once a year/GBR wide forum every second year
- Traditional Owner groups/elders need to keep decisions role

Understanding GBR groups

- Need better knowledge of who are the decision makes in industry and not just having reconnaissance come to Traditional Owners

Communications

- Need a list of Traditional Owner groups
- Need a regular newsletter

Great Barrier Reef Traditional Owner Council / Alliance

- Supported by a GBR collective - twice a year GBR-wide forum
- Members from all the Traditional Owner regions and cultures
- Clusters of Traditional Owners meet regularly (monthly)
- Ensures the voice of Traditional Owner is heard - unity
- Liaison between Traditional Owners - getting to the top

-
- Admins running 12 months a year
 - Government goes straight to this group for Traditional Owner business
 - Our own Traditional Owner Reef Authority made up of all groups
 - Stop each Traditional Owner group fighting for their own resources
 - 12 subregions or a different number (9? 4?)
 - Group advises directly - cuts out other Indigenous Advisory Groups/ Roles
 - Youth Alliance
 - Rapid reaction group for emergencies all slips

These concepts, principles and proposals will be further explored during the focused consultation phase of the Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations project.

TRADITIONAL OWNER INITIATED ISSUES

The reef funding announcement

Immediately prior to the forum, the Commonwealth Government announced an allocation of \$500M for Reef 2050, to be administered through the Great Barrier Reef Foundation (GBRF). This allocation included \$22M to be spent on Traditional Owner engagement. Forum participants expressed concern around the lack of consultation about the funding breakdown and had many questions about this funding allocation.

Forum organisers responded by facilitating several questions to be posed to the GBRF in due course and offering the forum a special presentation from a government representative, Ms Angela Cameron (DoEE, Director, Reef 2050 Plan).

Ms Cameron explained the nature of the Great Barrier Reef Foundation as a non-government foundation set up in 2000, to work on building partnerships and getting funding to protect and take care of the Great Barrier Reef. Since then they have garnered about \$80 million. They use government investments to leverage additional funding. The Foundation also works with the government and handles outcomes with the Reef 2050 Plan, but they are very separate to GBRMPA (which handles permitting, compliance).

Ms Cameron also explained that the purpose of the funding is to deliver on the Reef 2050 Plan, so access to the funding will revolve around delivery of Reef 2050 actions. There is a blank slate for consultation with the Foundation, and many areas of opportunity where Traditional Owners will be able to engage with the Foundation. The work of the forum to revise and focus the commitments and aspirations that Traditional Owners want from the Reef 2050 Plan is very important and will have a role in directing the funding.

On the last day of the forum, several participants proposed wording of a series of resolutions they felt important. These participants desired to leave the forum with a clear direction on what the Traditional Owners wanted to see from the Reef 2050 Plan and specifically, from the GBRF in their allocation of funding to implement the Reef 2050 actions. The proposed resolution was formally moved and seconded by Traditional Owners, and then voted on and passed by show of hands of Traditional Owners remaining at the forum. The resolution will be further explored by the Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations project team especially during the focused consultation and engagement phase of the project. The wording of the resolutions follows.

GREAT BARRIER REEF TRADITIONAL OWNER STATEMENT PROPOSED AT THE REEF-WIDE TRADITIONAL OWNER FORUM 1-3 MAY 2018:

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People are the GBR's Traditional Owners and we have inherent rather than permitted rights.

Resolution: Moved by Colin Enoch, Yirrganydji. Seconded by Eddie Smallwood, Bindal.

TOs from within the 70 plus groups from the GBR, in attendance at the RIMReP and Reef 2050 Reef-wide TO forum held in Palm Cove on 1 - 3 May 2018, strongly agree and recommend immediate action on the following:

TOs ask that investment be made to better understand the strength of TO management plans and to elevate TO management capacity:

1. That as part of the \$22m for Indigenous engagement, the 70 plus traditional owner groups receive \$4 – 6million = \$60k each group to do local governance building and sea country management business planning, which will inform and direct the agenda for the overall funding in the GBRF funding program (in place by October 2018). There is a provision available within the act of 39ZA that supports engagement and strong country planning.
2. Our respective and collective cultural strength and cultural authority is recognised and applied henceforth through our decision-making, towards the development and implementation of TO actions in the Reef 2050 Plan.
3. A freeze on all funds allocated to the GBRF with respect to TO engagement across the GBR is enacted immediately; up until the closing of the RRRC consultation phase and or until such time stipulated...
 - Doing things with us, not to us and for us.
4. There is an ongoing need for a whole of Reef Forum to drive the foundation for “whole of reef TO voice” (at least twice a year).
5. Development of preferred approach to “whole of reef” agreement making process or alliance (e.g. explore Reef Wide ILUA/ Strategic Use of 39ZA).

Future direction: Continue to engage all TO groups in further development of the governance arrangements and revised TO actions and develop a small Working Group to guide this process informed by the network model (small working groups going down the coast – centralised to a main body). Nominations from the floor were accepted and supported to assist establish the Working Group (as a start):

- James Epong
- Marissa Deshong

Two additional nominations have been provided to the Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations Project Team to join in the Working Group described above:

- Dr Kerry Blackman
- Dion Creek

Traditional Owner reef initiatives

During the forum, the agenda provided opportunities for Traditional Owners to present their own initiatives in land and sea country management. Forum participants enjoyed the following presentations:

Mick Hale presented on behalf of Yuku Baja Muliku initiatives in the application of drone technology to monitor and measure sea country.

Dion Creek – Kalan Enterprises initiatives where Cape York Traditional Owners are empowered to undertake water management in Cape York, supported by partnerships with Cape York Partnership, Government, CSIRO and James Cook University.

Eddie Smallwood – Eddie gave an overview of the work of the Gudjuda Rangers and presented a video about a new tourism program promoting culture and adventure. Gudjuda have several programs most of which are self-sustaining economically, without reliance on Government funding. Gudjuda offers employment and training for Traditional Owners, and sources funding from several streams including tourism funding from Townsville City Council's sister cities programs with China, Japan, and PNG. Gudjuda uses a social enterprise model.

Traditional Owner concerns about the reef

The dedicated break-out session to explore Traditional Owner concerns about the reef did not occur due to the addition of Angela Cameron's session about the GBRF funding. However, concerns raised through the forum were collated and presented, with an undertaking to provide responses in the form of fact sheets to be made available in due course.

The key concerns raised were:

1. Reef Health.
 - What causes coral bleaching? How bad is it, after the 2016 and 2017 bleaching events? How much of the reef is dead? Is the damage reversible?
 - Water quality – what is the damage from contaminants from the land? What about contaminants from the sea such as plastics?
 - Dredging – how bad is the threat?
2. Climate change
 - What will the reef look like in future? How will the biodiversity of the reef change? How will the changes impact on opportunities for livelihoods and economic activity?
 - What are the inundation risks in future due to sea level rise?
 - What are the likely impacts from increased cyclone risk?

3. Crown of thorns.

- How much of the reef is impacted by COTS?
- Where are they? Where will they turn up next?
- How can they be controlled?

4. Reef restoration and adaptation.

- What are the opportunities for Traditional Owners to be involved.
- There is a need to discuss some of the options being considered with Traditional Owners who may have some cultural and ethical concerns. (biological and controls).

5. Marine research on sea country, especially the behaviour of researchers

- Traditional Owners don't have access to the results and data
- There needs to be more involvement of Traditional Owners in the research
- There needs to be more Traditional Owner control over research – prior informed consent, and greater capacity for Traditional Owners to participate

APPENDIX 1

FULL NOTES FROM 3 DAY WORKSHOP

<http://rrrc.org.au/reef-2050/>

APPENDIX 2

REEF FORUM AGENDA AND POWERPOINT PRESENTATIONS

<http://rrrc.org.au/reef-2050/>

APPENDIX 3

List of Traditional Owner Participants (note that not all participants were present over the course of the three days and this list may be missing attendees – if you were present at the workshop and are not represented on this list, please let us know):

Name	TO Group	Organisation
Eddie Smallwood	Bindal	Gudjuda Reference Group Aboriginal
Henry 'Eddie' Savage	Bindal	
Traditional Owner	Burrgirrku	Burrgirrku Land Trust
Traditional Owner	Djabugay	Djabugay Native Title Aboriginal Corporation
Rhonda Duffin	Djabugay	Djabugay Native Title Aboriginal Corporation
Traditional Owner	Gamaay Warra	Gamaay Warra No consent for name to be published
Brent McLellan	Gigarjil	Gidarjil Development Corp
Gudju Gudju	Gimuy Walibara Yidinji	Gimuy
Alfie Diamond	Goodbidi, Mossman	Jabalbina Yalanji Aboriginal Corporation
Harold Ludwick	Hopevale	Hopevale Congress
Ivan Deemal	Hopevale	Hopevale Congress
Frankie Deemal	Hopevale	
Brad Stout	Juru	Kyburra Munda Yalga Aboriginal Corporation KMYAC
Toni Pryor	Juru	PBC for the Juru People, KMYAC, JEL
Adrena Pryor	Gia/Ngaro	
Dion Creek		Kalan Enterprises
Regan Hart		Kalpower Land Trust
Tim McGreen		Kalpower Land Trust
Samarla DeShong	Koinmerburra	
Marissa Deshong	Koinmerburra	
Daphney Clarmont	Kuuku Ya'u	Kuuku Ya'u Aboriginal Corporation
James Bally	Kuuku Ya'u	Kuuku Ya'u Aboriginal Corporation
Anthony Pascoe	Kuuku Ya'u	Kuuku Ya'u Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC
Mikayla Down	Lama Lama	Yintjingga Aboriginal Corporation
Traceylee Forester	Lama Lama	TUMRA Coordinator - Yintjingga Aboriginal Corporation
Alf Joyce	Mamu	Mamu Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC
Jennifer Joyce	Mamu	Mamu Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC
James Epong	Mandubarra	Mandubarra Land and Sea Inc

Dr Kerry Blackman	Gooreng Gooreng, Gurang, Tarebilang Bunda and Bailai	Representing four Traditional Owner Groups of the Port Curtis Coral Coast: PCCC Region-Sea Country Managers
Jenny Creek	Pul Pul	Pul Pul Land Trust
Patricia Clarmont	Pul Pul	Pul Pul Land Trust
Eldine Creek	Pul Pul & Southern Kaanju	
James Clarmont	Umpila - Coen	
Ada Clarmont	Umpila - Lockhart	
Jai Joseph Snr	Wadjanbarra Tablelands Yidinji	Gambir Yidinji Cultural Heritage and Protection Aboriginal Corporation
Charles Morganson	Warragamay Bada Bada	Warragamay Bada Bada
Janice Eaton	Warragamay Bada Bada	Warragamay Bada Bada
Brendon Adarms	Woopaburra	Woopaburra TUMRA Steering Committee
Lizzie Olbar	Wujul Wujul	
Marie Shipton	Jalanji, Wujal Wujal	
Mr Brian Johnson	Wulgurukaba	Wulgurukaba Yunbunen Aboriginal Corporation (WYAC)
Mrs Iris Glenbar	Wulgurukaba	Wulgurukaba Yunbunen Aboriginal Corporation (WYAC)
Johnson Chippendale	Wuthathi	Wuthathi Aboriginal Corporation
Rebecca Williams	Yaddighanna	
Colin Enoch	Yirrganydji	
George Singleton	Yirrganydji	
Shane Singleton	Yirrganydji	
Gavin Singleton	Yirrganydji	
Tradition Owner	Yuku Baja Muliku	Yuku Baja Muliku Landowner & Reserves No consent for name to be published
Stephen Doughboy (Jnr)	Yuku Baja Muliku	Yuku Baja Muliku Landowner & Reserves
Larissa Hale	Yuku Baja Muliku	
Harold Penola	Yuwibara	Yuwibara Aboriginal Corporation
Mrs Ruth Mallie	Yuwibara	Yuwibara Aboriginal Corporation
Gary Mooney	Yuibera	Yuibera Aboriginal Corporation
Anita Platt	TBA	
Ash Snider	TBA	
Traditional Owner		No consent for name to be published
Traditional Owner		No consent for name to be published
Harold Kepple	TBA	
Hilton Noble	TBA	
Traditional Owner		No consent for name to be published
Olive Platt	TBA	

Paul Sexton	TBA	
-------------	-----	--

Non Traditional Owner Participant in Full Workshop

Shannon Burns	Cape York Land Council	
---------------	------------------------	--

Joint Indigenous Heritage Expert Group and Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations Project Team Members and Support Staff at the Workshop

Melissa George	Wulgurukaba Traditional Owner	Reef 2050 TO Project	melissa.george@nailsma.org.au
Chrissy Grant	IHEG Chair (Kuku Yalanji Traditional Owner	RIMREP IHEG	chrissy@webone.com.au
Dr Leah Talbot	Collaborative and Indigenous Science Yalanji Traditional Owner	CSIRO	leah.talbot@csiro.au
Gavin Singleton	Yirrganydji Traditional Owner Indigenous Heritage Expert Group member	RIMREP	gavinsingo1@gmail.com
Larissa Hale	Yuku Baja Muliku Traditional Owner Indigenous Heritage Expert Group member	RIMREP	larissa.hale@archerpoint.com.au
Malcolm Mann	Darumbal Traditional Owner Indigenous Heritage Expert Group member	RIMREP	m.mann@cqu.edu.au
Samarla DeShong	Whitsundays/Mackay Koinjimal Traditional Owner Indigenous Heritage Expert Group member	RIMREP	samideshong@gmail.com
Traceylee Forester	Indigenous Engagement Coordinator Lama Lama Traditional Owner	AIMS	t.forester@aims.gov.au
Duane Fraser	Reef 2050 TO Engagement & Partnership Wulgurukaba Traditional Owner	RRRC	duane.fraser01@gmail.com
Liz Wren	Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Aspirations Project Director	RRRC	liz.wren@rrrc.org.au
Sheriden Morris	RRRC Managing Director	RRRC	sheriden.morris@rrrc.org.au

Dr Julie Carmody	RRRC Senior Project Manager	RRRC	julie.carmody@rrrc.org.au
Mike Winer	Reef 2050 Traditional Owner Project CYI/CYP Policy and Engagement	CYP	mwiner@cyp.org.au
Dr Allan Dale	Professor of Tropical Regional Development	JCU	allan.dale@jcu.edu.au
Dr Ro Hill	Research Team Leader CSIRO Cairns Collaborative and Indigenous Science	CSIRO	ro.hill@csiro.au
Libby Evans-Illidge	Research Director AIMS@JCU	AIMS	e.evansillidge@aims.gov.au
Diane Jarvis	JCU Indigenous Heritage Expert Group Project Support Team	RIMREP	diane.jarvis1@jcu.edu.au
Margaret Gooch	CSIRO/JCU/GBRMPA Indigenous Heritage Expert Group member	RIMREP	margaret.gooch@jcu.edu.au
Rachel Buissereth	Visiting Scientist Fulbright Postgraduate Scholar	CSIRO Cairns	Rachel.Buissereth@csiro.au