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1. Introduction

In response to a need to more clearly identify the characteristics, motivations and travel patterns of visitors who visited the Wet Tropics World Heritage Area (WTWHA), this annual report presents a range of rainforest visitor data that can be used by the Wet Tropics Management Authority for planning and marketing purposes and by tourism industry operators.

This report is a culmination of the research conducted in 2008 on rainforest tourism in the WTWHA. This research was funded through by Australian Government’s Marine and Tropical Sciences Research Facility (MTSRF). The key tasks allocated to MTSRF Project 4.9.2 Sustainable nature based tourism: Planning and management in relation to the Wet Tropics are:

- To report on the sustainable use of rainforest resources by the tourism industry; and
- To monitor visitors at the site level and community perceptions.

This project is designed to identify aspects of the annual tourist usage of the Wet Tropics rainforests, including key trends, visitation patterns, activities and behaviours, and motivations.

Throughout this annual report, data is outlined on a quarterly and annual basis. Moreover, an annual comparison is provided with the 2007 data\(^1\). The quarters of data collection were January to March; April to June; July to September and October to December. A total of 1,010 surveys were completed by visitors across the whole WTWHA during 2008.

The results in this annual report are discussed in three sections:

- The socio-demographic characteristics of respondents;
- The motivations and behaviours of respondents; and
- The experiences and satisfaction of respondents.

The individual quarterly data that has already been released can be accessed at the MTSRF website\(^2\). This annual report analyses data from January to December 2008.

---


2. Methodology

The primary method used for this research was structured surveys. In order to establish a representative distribution system, a number of methods of survey distribution were used including distribution by employees of participating tour operators and on-site surveying (discussed further below). As listed in Table 1, the WTWHA is divided into twelve distinct precincts. For ease of distribution and analysis, these precincts were condensed into six survey regions, the Northern Tropics, Cairns/Kuranda, the Tablelands, the Central Coast (also known as the Cassowary Coast) and the Southern Tropics.

Table 1: Survey regions in relation to WTWHA precincts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WTWHA Precincts</th>
<th>Survey Regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bloomfield/Windsor</td>
<td>Northern Tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Daintree/Cape Tribulation</td>
<td>Cairns/Kuranda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Douglas/Wanggetti</td>
<td>The Tablelands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Julatten/Mareeba</td>
<td>Central Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Kuranda/Cairns</td>
<td>Southern Tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Russell/Mulgrave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Tablelands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Wooroonooran</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Koombooloomba/Kirrama</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Cassowary Coast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Wallaman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Paluma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has been the intention of this project to obtain a representative sample in each survey region. However, in 2008 this was not achieved in all regions as discussed later on in this report. In cases where a proportional number of surveys were distributed in the WTWHA region, distribution numbers were based on tourist numbers and profiles in each of the WTWHA locations as identified by Bentrupperbäumer and Reser (2002) and Bentrupperbäumer (2002a; 2002b; 2002c). Visitors travelled to locations within the WTWHA either on tour buses/coaches or as independent travellers (using private or rented vehicles). To ensure coverage of each mode of transport, surveys were distributed by tour operators at visitor attractions and through on-site surveying.

Operator support was sought through discussions with operational managers and business directors/owners. Throughout 2008, three large and one small tour operator, and two visitor attractions offered their support. Tour operators distributed surveys via tour guides in the Atherton Tablelands and Daintree National Park areas. Visitor attraction staff, under the guidance of operations managers, were responsible for distributing surveys to visitors at these sites. This limited the randomisation of the data, but was felt to be a necessary and acceptable compromise to ensure survey returns.

According to Bentrupperbäumer (2002b; 2002c), the two most significant WTWHA locations that attract high visitor numbers are located in the Daintree National Park. Thus two sites in the National Park, Mossman Gorge and the Daintree Discovery Centre, were targeted for surveying by the MTSRF research team during 2008. Both sites were identified as locations
visited by large audience numbers of tourists. Trained research assistants spent one day per month surveying visitors at Mossman Gorge, and one day per month at the Daintree Discovery Centre, alternating surveying days between weekdays and weekends. The survey contained a combination of questions on socio-demographics, motivations (using a Likert scale), behaviours, travel patterns and satisfaction.

2.1 Limitations

The research approach adopted in this research has a number of limitations that should be considered before generalising results. First, seasonality was a limitation in survey collection. During the ‘low tourist season’ (January to March, and again in November and December), many rainforest tour operators either closed for the season or operated smaller numbers of tours to WTWHA locations. Consequently, it was difficult to achieve a high rate of sampling during this period.

Moreover, during this period, the ‘wet season’ brought with it a period of heavy rainfall and localised flooding. This deterred self-drive visitors from travelling to rainforest locations such as Paluma, Daintree and Mossman Gorge.

Another limitation was the potential that other specific markets have not been captured. In the 2008 collection period the survey instrument was distributed in English and Chinese, potentially causing a strong bias towards Anglophone and Chinese visitors. Given the difficulty of identifying other groups of visitors by nationality, no further translation of the instrument is planned at this time.

Another key limitation that is expected to be further resolved in 2009 is the geographical distribution of surveys across the whole WTWHA. Based on the survey regions outlined in Table 1, the Central Coast region was underrepresented in this research. While operators were contacted on a number of occasions throughout 2008, time constraints and limited staffing prevented them from permanently participating in the research.
3. Findings

This section presents the overall annual and quarterly findings of rainforest tourism in North Queensland, based on surveys conducted on-site at Mossman Gorge and at the Daintree Discovery Centre, and from key rainforest tour operators and attractions throughout the WTWHA.

3.1 Surveyed visitors' socio-demographic characteristics

Gender and origin of surveyed visitors

During 2008, slightly more males (50.4%) than females (49.6%) completed the survey, differing from the 2007 results of males (47.3%) to females (52.7%). This was not always consistent throughout the year. For example, in Quarter 1 (Jan-Mar), the percentage of males who completed the survey dropped to 45.3%; whereas in Quarter 3 (Jul-Sep), this rose to 54.2%. There was little regional variation between the Northern Tropics and Cairns/Kuranda (51.2% and 53.1% male responses, respectively), but more variation existed with visitors to the Tablelands and the Southern Tropics, where only 42.9% and 43.7% of males completed the surveys.

Based on the 2008 dataset, only 36.2% of respondents were from overseas, compared with 40.2% of respondents in 2007. The 2008 rate varied throughout the year. The percentage of international visitors completing the surveys dropped in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 28.8%) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 27.8%), and increased substantially during Quarters 1 (Jan-Mar; 40.7%) and 2 (Apr-Jun; 41.4%). This indicates that international visitors tended to have high levels of visitation to the region during what is typically considered to be the ‘low season’ in North Queensland (particularly for domestic visitors).

Figure 1 shows the origin of the entire 2008 sample of both international and domestic respondents. Domestic visitors made up the remaining 63.8% of the 2008 sample; 59.8% in 2007. Following domestic visitors, the second largest group of respondents for this research came from the United Kingdom and Ireland (9.3%; 14.0% in 2007), China (9.0%; 1.6% in 2007) and North America (5.6%; 8.9% in 2007).

![Figure 1](image-url): Origin of visitors surveyed (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).
Table 2 compares the origins of visitors and the locations at which they completed the surveys within the WTWHA. The percentage of domestic visitors remained only somewhat consistent in each of the key regions illustrated in Table 2, peaking only at the Tablelands and the Southern parts of the World Heritage area, for instance near Paluma. Respondents from China and North America were more likely to be surveyed in the Cairns/Kuranda region.

Table 2: Comparison of the origin of survey respondents, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK and Ireland</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavia</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Occupation

As shown in Figure 2, the most common occupation of visitors based on the 2008 sample were professionals (21.7%), followed by retirees/semi-retirees (16.0%) and self-employees (11.1%). This was similar to the 2007 dataset, where professional (28.2%) was the most popular occupation type, followed by retiree/semi-retiree (17.4%) and student (8.1%).

From the 2008 dataset, there were a number of seasonal variations in the quarterly results. While there was an increase in the percentage of professionals surveyed in Quarter 3 (Jul-Sep; 24.4%), the percentage of retirees/semi-retirees rose during Quarter 4 in particular (Oct-Dec; 19.7%).

![Figure 2: Occupation of visitors surveyed (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).](image)

Table 3 compares the occupations of domestic and international respondents. Table 3 clearly shows that domestic professionals and retirees/semi-retirees far exceeded their international counterparts.

Table 4 illustrates the occupations of respondents at four survey regions. Results indicate that professionals and self-employees and those working in management were more likely to be surveyed and hence are expected to have a larger presence in the Northern Tropics. Of note also is the high percentage of retirees/semi-retirees visiting the Tablelands, and students and tradespersons visiting Cairns/Kuranda.
Table 3: Comparison of surveyed domestic and international visitors’ occupations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired/Semi-Retired</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office-Clerical</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradesperson</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Duties</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual/Factory Work</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of the occupations of survey respondents, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired/Semi-Retired</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office-Clerical</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradesperson</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Duties</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual/Factory Work</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Age of surveyed visitors

Figure 3 presents the overall annual age of survey respondents, as well as data for each quarter. The combined bracket of 20-39 years (of age) constitutes over 41% of all respondents (also over 41% in 2007). The largest quarterly variation occurred in the 20-29 year age group. In Quarter 1 (Jan-Mar) and to a lesser extent Quarter 4 (Oct-Dec), there was a strong increase in respondents aged 20-29 years (34.2% and 23.0% respectively). Whereas towards the middle of the year, the 20-29 year age group declined in numbers, dropping to 18.4% in Quarter 2 (Apr-Jun) and 17.9% in Quarter 3 (Jul-Sep).

![Figure 3: Age of visitors surveyed (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).](image)

One of the aims of this research was to identify differences between the origin and age of visitors. As Table 5 illustrates, the main difference between the two groups was that international visitors were more inclined to sit within the Under 20 years or the 20-29 and 40-49 year age groups. In the 30-39, 50-59, 60-65 and Over 65 year age groups the percentage of domestic visitors exceeded international visitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 20 yrs</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 yrs</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39 yrs</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49 yrs</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59 yrs</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-65 yrs</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 65 yrs</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Comparison of age groups of surveyed domestic and international visitors.
Table 6 examines the age groups of respondents in four key rainforest regions in the WTWHA. The Southern Tropics was popular with younger visitors, whereas the Tablelands were popular with older visitors.

Table 6: Age group of respondents, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 20 yrs</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 yrs</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39 yrs</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49 yrs</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td><strong>20.7</strong></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59 yrs</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td><strong>28.9</strong></td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-65 yrs</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td><strong>23.7</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 65 yrs</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td><strong>23.7</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Surveyed visitors’ travel behaviour and motivations for travel

Travel party

The surveys conducted in 2008 reveal that the majority of visitors were travelling in North Queensland as a couple (52.7% of survey respondents; 55.8% in 2007). This figure remained largely consistent throughout the year, dropping only in Quarter 1 (Jan-Mar, 38.7%; see Figure 4).

Figure 4: Travel party of visitors surveyed (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).

Based on travel party domestic respondents were more likely to travel as a family with children, with relatives, in a tour group or as part of a club. International respondents were more likely to travel as a couple, with friends or alone (see Table 7).

Table 7: Comparison of chosen travel parties of surveyed domestic and international visitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel Party</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Couple</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family with children</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour group</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8 illustrates survey regions visited by respondents in 2008, based on travel party composition.

Table 8: Travel parties of respondents, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel party</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Couple</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family with children</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour group</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First visit and length of stay

Figure 5 illustrates that overall, 60.4% of respondents were first-time visitors to North Queensland (65.8% in 2007). This figure remained relatively constant throughout the year, peaking in Quarter 2 (Apr-Jun) when 65.6% of respondents were on their first visit to the region. Moreover, the Southern region had the highest percentage of repeat visitors (81.4%).

Of the respondents who had previously visited the region (39.6% of the total sample), the mean number of past visits to the region was four.

During 2008, the average length of stay in the region was 8.46 nights (8.73 nights in 2007). While this average was consistent throughout Quarters 2 (Apr-Jun; 7.42 nights) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 7.57 nights), the average rose dramatically in Quarter 1 (Jan-Mar; 10.77 nights), and to a lesser degree in Quarter 3 (Jul-Sep; 8.52 nights).

Figure 5: Percentage of respondents who were on their first visit to North Queensland (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, 2007 and 2008 quarterly samples, and per WTWHA region).


Accommodation

As Figure 6 illustrates, the types of accommodation chosen by respondents were resorts (25.8%), followed by hotels/motels (26.4%) and holiday apartments (18.2%). The popularity of resorts peaked in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 31.4%) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 34.7%).

These results were similar to the 2007 dataset, where the most popular choice of accommodation was resort (25.8%), followed by hotels/motel (24.1%) and holiday apartments (19.0%).

![Accommodation preferences based on domestic and international visitors are compared in Table 9. The results illustrate that international visitors preferred to stay in hotels/motels, backpackers' hostels, and camping and caravan parks/cabins. On the other hand, domestic visitors preferred resorts, holiday apartments/units, caravan parks or staying with friends or relatives. Similar trends were recorded in 2007, where international visitors indicated a preference for hotels/motels, backpackers' hostels and caravan parks/cabins, while domestic visitors' preferences in order of popularity were resorts, hotels/motels, holiday apartments/units, caravan parks, and staying with friends or relatives. Table 10 highlights the types of accommodation chosen by respondents within each region of the WTWHA. The results highlight the prevalence of respondents camping in the Southern region of the Wet Tropics.](image)

**Figure 6**: Chosen accommodation type of visitors surveyed (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).
### Table 9: Comparison of choice of accommodation by surveyed domestic and international visitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation type</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resort</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel/Motel</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday Apartment/Unit</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caravan Park/Cabin</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backpackers’ Hostel</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends/Relatives</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed ‘n’ Breakfast</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10: Respondents’ chosen accommodation types, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation type</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resort</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel/Motel</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday Apartment/Unit</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caravan Park/Cabin</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backpackers’ Hostel</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends/Relatives</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed ‘n’ Breakfast</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transport

It is clear from Figure 7 that the dominant mode of transport for respondents to travel to the region was air transport (41.9%), mirroring the finds from 2007 which also identified air transport as the most popular mode of travel to the region (40.9% in 2007). Other modes of transport used in 2008 included rented cars (25.1%; 30.4% in 2007) and private vehicles (21.0%; 16.0% in 2007).

![Figure 7: Surveyed visitors’ chosen mode of transport (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).](image)

In comparing international and domestic respondents, Table 11 reveals that private vehicles and rail were preferable options for domestic visitors, whereas international visitors preferred to travel by air, rental car, buses/coach and rental campervan/caravan.

**Table 11:** Comparison of surveyed domestic and international respondents’ chosen modes of transport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of transport</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented car</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicle</td>
<td><strong>28.7</strong></td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/coach</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented campervan/caravan</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td><strong>1.8</strong></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise boat</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td><strong>0.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12 details the most popular mode of transport chosen by survey respondents to travel to the region at four key WTWHA regions. For example, visitors to the Northern Tropics region were more likely to have hired a car to travel to that region.

**Table 12**: Respondents’ chosen mode of transport, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of transport</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented car</td>
<td><strong>35.4</strong></td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicle</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td><strong>92.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/coach</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td><strong>16.5</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented campervan/caravan</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td><strong>5.5</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise boat</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td><strong>0.4</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previous holidays and alternative destinations

Table 13 outlines the most popular destinations visited by respondents on their previous holiday prior to their current trip to the region. Comparisons are then made between the 2007 and 2008 annual datasets, and the destinations chosen by domestic and international visitors.

Table 13: Most popular and recent holiday destinations for surveyed visitors (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual totals, and domestic and international visitors).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destinations</th>
<th>2007 Overall visitors (%)</th>
<th>2007 Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>2007 Internat. visitors (%)</th>
<th>2008 Overall visitors (%)</th>
<th>2008 Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>2008 Internat. visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Coast</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The survey also asked respondents to list up to three alternative destinations they had considered when planning this trip. The most popular destinations are shown in Table 14. Based on the 2007 dataset, New Zealand was the most popular international destination considered, followed by Tasmania and Sydney. As for the 2008 dataset, the most popular domestic destination was Sydney, followed by Melbourne.

Table 14: Alternative destinations considered by surveyed visitors (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual total).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destinations</th>
<th>2007 Overall visitors (%)</th>
<th>2008 Overall visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darwin</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broome</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uluru</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motivations

The survey asked respondents to rank a number of factors that attracted them to North Queensland. Respondents were asked to rank each factor on a scale from 1 (‘Not at all important’) to 5 (‘Very important’).

Results summarised in Figure 8 indicate that visiting the rainforest (mean = 4.25; 4.38 in 2007) and the Great Barrier Reef (mean = 4.22; 4.31 in 2007) was the most important motivation for visiting North Queensland.

Other significant motivations included experiencing the natural environment (mean = 4.08; 4.12 in 2007), seeing wildlife (mean = 3.89; 3.94 in 2007), and rest and relaxation (mean = 4.15; 4.02 in 2007). Of interest is the low mean for meeting new people (mean = 3.05; 2.89 in 2007) and visiting friends and relatives (mean = 2.52; 2.43 in 2007). The results suggest that the major strength of the destination lies in nature-based tourism attractions and related activities.

Figure 8: Surveyed visitors’ motivations for visiting North Queensland (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).

A comparison of international and domestic visitors is presented in Table 15. Here it is revealed that international visitors rated the destinations’ natural features – including the Great Barrier Reef, Wet Tropics rainforests, wildlife, snorkeling and diving, beaches, islands, adventure activities, and the outback – more so than their domestic counterparts as motivations for visiting the region.
Table 15: Comparison of surveyed domestic and international visitors’ motivations for visiting North Queensland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivations</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (mean)</th>
<th>International visitors (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit the Great Barrier Reef</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit the rainforests</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience the natural environment</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest and relaxation</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snorkelling and diving</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit the beaches</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The price matched my budget</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit the islands</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure activities</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spend time with my family</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet new people</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit friends and relatives</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / conference / meeting</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 16 illustrates the total rank for each motivation in 2007 and 2008, followed by the ranks for domestic and international respondents throughout 2008.

**Table 16:** The rank for each motivation of the overall sample in 2007 and 2008, and by domestic and international visitors in 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>2007 Overall sample</th>
<th>2008 Overall sample</th>
<th>2008 Domestic visitors</th>
<th>2008 Internat. visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Visit the rainforests</td>
<td>Visit the rainforests</td>
<td>Rest and relaxation</td>
<td>Visit the Great Barrier Reef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Visit the Great Barrier Reef</td>
<td>Visit the Great Barrier Reef</td>
<td>Visit the rainforests</td>
<td>Visit the rainforests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Experience the natural environment</td>
<td>Rest and relaxation</td>
<td>Experience the natural environment</td>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rest and relaxation</td>
<td>Experience the natural environment</td>
<td>Visit the Great Barrier Reef</td>
<td>Experience the natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Rest and relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
<td>Diving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visit the beaches</td>
<td>Visit the beaches</td>
<td>Spend time with family</td>
<td>Climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Diving</td>
<td>Spend time with family</td>
<td>Visit the beaches</td>
<td>Visit the beaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The price matched my budget</td>
<td>Diving</td>
<td>The price matched my budget</td>
<td>Visit the islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Visit the islands</td>
<td>The price matched my budget</td>
<td>Diving</td>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Spend time with family</td>
<td>Visit the islands</td>
<td>Visit the islands</td>
<td>Spend time with family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
<td>The price matched my budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td>Meet new people</td>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
<td>Meet new people</td>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
<td>Meet new people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Meet new people</td>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
<td>Adventure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Visiting friends and relatives</td>
<td>Visiting friends and relatives</td>
<td>Visiting friends and relatives</td>
<td>Visiting friends and relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>Go shopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Business / conference / meeting</td>
<td>Business / conference / meeting</td>
<td>Business / conference / meeting</td>
<td>Business / conference / meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 17 highlights the motivations for travel to North Queensland according to the rainforest regions where visitors completed their surveys. For example, respondents in the Northern Tropics rated the Visiting the rainforests and Experiencing the natural environment as their key motivations, while respondents in the Southern region indicated that rest and relaxation was their major motivation for visiting the region.

**Table 17:** Comparison of survey respondents’ motivations to visit North Queensland, as mean ratings per key WTWHA region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivations</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (mean)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (mean)</th>
<th>Tablelands (mean)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit Great Barrier Reef</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit rainforest</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience natural environment</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest and relax</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td><strong>4.48</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Australian wildlife</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snorkelling and diving</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td><strong>3.61</strong></td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit beaches</td>
<td><strong>3.67</strong></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price matched my budget</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td><strong>3.50</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit Islands</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td><strong>3.70</strong></td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure activities</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td><strong>3.43</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Aboriginal culture</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td><strong>3.32</strong></td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spend time with my family</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td><strong>4.15</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet new people</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td><strong>3.49</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience the ‘Outback’</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td><strong>3.40</strong></td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste tropical fruits</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td><strong>3.18</strong></td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting friends and relatives</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td><strong>3.47</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td><strong>2.83</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/conference/meeting</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td><strong>1.91</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Information sources**

The major source of information for visitors about North Queensland was friends and relatives (37.8%; 40.2% in 2007), which was reported fairly consistently across the four quarters, peaking in Quarter 3 (Jul-Sep; 44.7%). The other information sources that followed in terms of popularity – internet, guidebooks, previous visit (have been before) and travel agents – all incurred seasonal peaks and drops (see Figure 9).

![Figure 9: Information sources used by surveyed visitors (as percentages of 2007 and 2008 annual samples, and 2008 quarterly samples).](image)

Table 18 highlights differences in the use of information sources used by domestic and international visitors. For example, international visitors relied more heavily on guidebooks, the internet travel agents and visitor centres for information when compared with their domestic counterparts.

**Table 18:** Comparison of surveyed domestic and international visitors’ information sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information sources</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friends and relatives</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel agent</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have been before</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV documentary</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads in print</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor centre</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads on television/radio</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 19 draws comparisons between information sources used by respondents based on rainforest regions. Of the total number of respondents in 2008, those who relied on friends and relatives, guidebooks, having been before, television documentaries and visitor centres were likely to have been surveyed in and have visited the Northern Tropics region. In comparison, respondents who relied on the internet, travel agents and ads on television/radio were more likely to have been surveyed in and have visited the Cairns/Kuranda area.

**Table 19:** Information sources used by respondents, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information sources</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friends and relatives</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td><strong>30.0</strong></td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel agent</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td><strong>26.6</strong></td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have been before</td>
<td><strong>24.7</strong></td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV documentary</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td><strong>11.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads in print</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td><strong>11.8</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor centre</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads on television/radio</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td><strong>4.9</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Surveyed visitors’ experiences in and satisfaction with Tropical North Queensland

Rainforest locations visited and length of stay

As Figure 10 shows, the key hotspots for experiencing the rainforests of North Queensland included Mossman Gorge (49.1%; 60.5% in 2007), Daintree (53.8%; 55.0% in 2007) and Kuranda (60.8%; 47.7% in 2007), and a strong level of intent to visit Cape Tribulation (31.8%; 31.2% in 2007).

Figure 10: Rainforest locations visited, and intent to visit, by 2007 and 2008 survey results.

Figure 11 illustrates the length of time respondents stayed in each of the rainforest locations where they were surveyed. The table does not indicate intended length of stay in other locations. As presented in Figure 11, the results from both 2007 and 2008 show that Mossman Gorge is predominately visited for less than half a day, Kuranda for half to one day, and the Mission Beach region for more than one day.

Figure 11: Time spent at rainforest locations in the WTWHA by respondents.
Table 20 provides a comparison of domestic and international visitors and their rainforest visitation patterns.

**Table 20**: Comparison of rainforest locations visited by surveyed domestic and international visitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainforest locations</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mossman Gorge</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daintree</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuranda</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Tribulation</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherton Tablelands</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innisfail region</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Beach region</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paluma</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Importance of visiting rainforests and knowledge**

The survey posed a question on the importance of visiting the Wet Tropics rainforests while staying in the region. Visitors could respond on a scale of 1 (‘Not at all important’) through to 5 (‘Very important’). The mean from the entire sample was 4.07 (4.31 in 2007), which remained relatively consistent throughout the year, increasing only slightly in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 4.18) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 4.12). The same occurred in 2007 with increases in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 4.36) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 4.39).

A similar follow-on question was also posed about the importance of visiting national parks whilst on holidays. The overall mean for the sample was 3.81 (3.86 in 2007). While substantially lower than the importance of visiting the Wet Tropics rainforests, this mean remained relatively consistent throughout the year, rising only slightly in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 3.87) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 3.90). Again, the same occurred in 2007 with increases in Quarters 3 (Jul-Sep; 3.96) and 4 (Oct-Dec; 3.90).

Visitors were also asked if they knew that the Wet Tropics rainforests were World Heritage listed prior to their visit. Of those surveyed, 61.9% (66.7% in 2007) of visitors were aware of the World Heritage listing before their visit. Almost three-quarters (72.0%; 80.7% in 2007) of domestic visitors knew that the rainforest was World Heritage listed, compared with only 44.3% (46.3% in 2007) of international visitors. A high percentage of visitors (88.6%; 95.1% in 2007) agreed that if the Wet Tropics rainforests were not World Heritage listed, they would still have visited. In this instance, there was little variation between domestic and international visitors. In that, 96.7% (89.4% in 2007) of domestic visitors and 92.4% (87.2% in 2007) of international visitors agreed that if the rainforests were not World Heritage listed they would still have visited.

**Rainforest activities**

The survey also posed a question on visitors’ participation in a number of rainforest activities during their visit to North Queensland. Figure 12 outlines the popularity of these activities. From Figure 12, seasonality did not greatly influence the interest in any of the rainforest activities.
Domestic visitors were more interested than international visitors in participating in all of the listed rainforest activities except for photography, visiting information centres, guided tours and bird watching. These comparisons are listed in Table 21.

**Table 21:** Comparison of surveyed domestic and international visitors’ interests in rainforest activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainforest activities</th>
<th>Domestic visitors (%)</th>
<th>International visitors (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing scenery</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General relaxation</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filming/photography</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing wildlife</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting information centres</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading site interpretation</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided tour</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird watching</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialising</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-wheel driving</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafting</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike riding</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 22 highlights that a large number of visitors indicated they would participate in a number of rainforest activities in the Southern and Northern regions of the Wet Tropics.

**Table 22:** Respondents’ preferred rainforest activities, as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainforest activities</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (%)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (%)</th>
<th>Tablelands (%)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing scenery</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General relaxation</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td><strong>65.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film/Photography</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td><strong>53.5</strong></td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing wildlife</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td><strong>66.3</strong></td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting information centres</td>
<td><strong>46.3</strong></td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading site interpretation</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided tour</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td><strong>82.6</strong></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird watching</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td><strong>74.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td><strong>34.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialisation</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td><strong>48.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td><strong>44.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4WD</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td><strong>28.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafting</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td><strong>9.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike riding</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td><strong>9.3</strong></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rainforest interpretation

This section explores the responses of surveyed visitors to a number of attitudinal statements about the on-site environmental and cultural interpretation. Six statements were posed to visitors concerning different facets of their experience. Visitors were asked to respond to these on a scale of 1 (‘Strongly disagree’) to 5 (‘Strongly agree’).

Figure 13 provides a summary of these results and also provides seasonal variations. The results clearly illustrate that while the on-site environmental and cultural information was interesting and clear, it to a much lesser degree influenced site behaviour and attitude.

Figure 13: Impressions of surveyed visitors to attitudinal statements concerning environmental and cultural interpretation (as mean values of 2008 annual and quarterly samples).
Table 23 illustrates the comparison between domestic and international visitors to six attitudinal statements regarding on-site interpretation. Domestic visitors provided increased overall means for all attitudinal statements.

**Table 23:** Comparison of surveyed domestic and international visitors’ attitudes to on-site interpretation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitudinal statements</th>
<th>Domestic visitors surveyed (mean)</th>
<th>International visitors surveyed (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The environmental information…</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was interesting and clear</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my site behaviour</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped me to understand the ecological processes of this site</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my attitude towards environmental conservation</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The cultural information…</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was interesting and clear</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my site behaviour</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped me to understand the cultural significance processes of this site</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my attitude towards environmental conservation</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24 presents visitors’ responses to attitudinal statements in relation to where they were surveyed. Those surveyed in the Tablelands area were more likely to agree that the level of on-site interpretation was adequate.

**Table 24:** Comparison of surveyed visitors’ attitudes to on-site interpretation as a percentage of surveyed visitors at four key WTWHA regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitudinal statements</th>
<th>Northern Tropics (mean)</th>
<th>Cairns / Kuranda (mean)</th>
<th>Tablelands (mean)</th>
<th>Southern Tropics (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The environmental information…</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was interesting and clear</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my site behaviour</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped me to understand the ecological processes of this site</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my attitude towards environmental conservation</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The cultural information…</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was interesting and clear</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my site behaviour</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped me to understand the cultural significance processes of this site</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenced my attitude towards environmental conservation</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget and visitors’ overall trip satisfaction

The survey posed a question to visitors on the overall budget for their travel party for this trip (including airfares, accommodation, tours and all other expenses). The average overall budget for surveyed visitors was $4,073 ($5,100 in 2007). This average amount budgeted for on average 2.02 (1.98 in 2007) adults and 0.20 (0.29 in 2007) children.

The average budget for surveyed domestic visitors in 2008 was $3,327 (for 2.07 adults and 0.21 children). For international visitors during this same period, $5,477 was for 1.95 adults and 0.16 children.

Visitors were asked to rate the overall quality of their Wet Tropics rainforest visit using a scale of 1 (‘Not at all satisfactory’) to 10 (‘Highly satisfactory’). The overall annual mean score for 2008 was 8.36 (8.55 in 2007), as shown in Figure 14. This score dropped slightly in Quarter 2 (Apr-Jun; 8.32) and quite substantially in Quarter 1 (Jan-Mar; 8.14).

Furthermore, in comparing domestic and international respondents, international visitors to the region provided a lower overall annual mean (8.06; 8.50 in 2007) than domestic visitors (8.52; 8.57 in 2007). Lastly, in terms of key rainforest regions, those surveyed in the Northern Tropics region were more likely to indicate a higher level of overall trip satisfaction (8.55).

![Figure 14](image-url)

**Figure 14:** Surveyed visitors’ overall satisfaction with their trip to North Queensland, as means of annual and quarterly, domestic and international, and rainforest location totals in 2007 and 2008.
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